

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
10

11 STEVE CULORES,

12
13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 TRX, INC.,
16

17 Defendant.
18

Case No.: 11-3281 RS (JSC)

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
TO COMPEL**

19 On February 2, 2012, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (Dkt. No. 24) was referred to the
20 undersigned Judge. Having reviewed the Declaration of George Duesdieker (Dkt. No. 26)
21 submitted with Plaintiff's Motion to Compel, the Court concludes that the parties have not
22 adequately met and conferred in accordance with Local Rule 1-5(n). Accordingly, within
23 business three days the parties shall meet and confer telephonically. If the parties are unable
24 to resolve the dispute after meeting and conferring telephonically, they shall meet and confer
25 in person at Plaintiff's counsel's office by February 9, 2012. If this further meet and confer
26 is unsuccessful, the parties may submit a joint letter brief regarding the dispute in accordance
27 with the Court's Standing Order by February 21, 2012. Upon receipt of the parties' joint
28

1 letter brief (which the Court hopes will not be necessary), the Court will decide how to
2 proceed.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Dated: February 3, 2012

6 
7 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28